| | | RI-V (FTER | | | | | | | | 4 of | | | - | | |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|------|------------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|--------------|------|------|--------|-----|
| | # Wks | | | TER WEEK 2 | | | WEEK 3 RESULTS | | | | AFTER WEEK 3 | | | | W/C |
| NAME | Golfed | AVG. | HDCP | PTS | RANK | ACT | NET | RANK | PTS. | AVG. | HDCP | PTS. | RANK | Points | Rai |
| | | | | | Cham | bionship | Compe | titors | | | | | | - | |
| Burke, David | 0 | 42.75 | 7.8 | 9.0 | 3 | 51 | 43.2 | 12 | 0.0 | 43.25 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 4 | 9.0 | 4 |
| Horst, Dale | 0 | 50.38 | 15.4 | 1.0 | 9 | 49 | 33.6 | 6 | 2.0 | 50.00 | 15.0 | 3.0 | 10 | 3.0 | 1(|
| _ewandowski, Mike | 0 | 47.75 | 12.8 | 5.0 | 6 | 43 | 30.2 | 2 | 6.0 | 46.75 | 11.8 | 11.0 | 2 | 11.0 | 2 |
| Mackson, Dominick | 0 | 58.00 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 11 | 49 | 26.0 | 1 | 7.0 | 53.50 | 18.5 | 7.0 | 5 | 7.0 | 5 |
| Mackson, John | 0 | 47.75 | 12.8 | 2.0 | 7 | 46 | 33.2 | 4 | 4.0 | 48.00 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 8 | 6.0 | 8 |
| Powals, Scott | 0 | 43.13 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 11 | 48 | 39.9 | 10 | 0.0 | 44.13 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 12 | 0.0 | 12 |
| Rilly, John | 0 | 48.50 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 4 | 52 | 38.5 | 8 | 0.0 | 49.33 | 14.3 | 7.0 | 5 | 7.0 | 5 |
| Schaefer, Jack | 0 | 49.13 | 14.1 | 2.0 | 7 | 47 | 32.9 | 3 | 5.0 | 49.13 | 14.1 | 7.0 | 5 | 7.0 | 5 |
| Frachsel, Bill | 0 | 41.75 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 5 | 46 | 39.2 | 9 | 0.0 | 42.50 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 8 | 6.0 | 8 |
| Frachsel, Brian | 0 | 50.50 | 15.5 | 13.0 | 1 | 49 | 33.5 | 5 | 3.0 | 49.88 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 1 | 16.0 | 1 |
| Frachsel, Jay | 0 | 45.13 | 10.1 | 11.0 | 2 | 50 | 39.9 | 10 | 0.0 | 45.50 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 2 | 11.0 | 2 |
| Whitefoot, Jerry | 0 | 49.75 | 14.8 | 1.0 | 9 | 52 | 37.2 | 7 | 1.0 | 50.13 | 15.1 | 2.0 | 11 | 2.0 | 11 |
| Frachsel, Mike | 0 | 41.88 | 6.9 | 1 | Non-C | hampior 0 | n/a | ayers | 1 | 41.88 | 6.9 | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| Frachsel, Steve | 0 | 47.50 | 12.5 | | | 48 | 35.5 | | | 47.38 | 12.4 | | | | |
| /anHollebeke, Matt | 0 | 43.13 | 8.1 | | | 40 | n/a | | | 43.13 | 8.1 | | | | |
| | ů | | - | | 20 | DE E | | DEC | | | 0.1 | | | | 1 |
| | | | VVE | | 33 | | | RE3 | ULI | Э | | | | | |
| | | | | | | LOSEST | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ER>, #3, | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Mike L | | owski, # | | | = \$34 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | W WEE | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | N | like Le | wandov | wski (\$10 | | Mackso | on (\$8) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | SKI | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | total / 3 | | r i | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Golfer Name | | | H1 | H2 | H3 | H4 | H5 | H6 | H7 | H8 | H9 | 1 | | | |
| Trachsel, Jay | | | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | | |
| Whitefoot, Jerry | | | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | | | | |
| | Hor | rst, Dale | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | | | |
| Trachsel, Steve | | | 6 | 5 | 4 | $\overline{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 | | | |
| Trachsel, Bill | | | 6 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | | | |
| , | | | | | | 6 | | | | - | 6 | 1 | | | |
| Lewandowski, Mike | | | - | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | | 4 | 6 | - | | | |
| Mackson, John | | | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | \mathbb{C} | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 | | | | |
| Burke, David | | | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 8 | | | | |
| Trachsel, Brian | | | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | | | | |
| Rilly, John | | | 6 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | | | | |
| Powals, Scott | | | 5 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | | | |

After 3 weeks the story gets a little more interesting. Lew, with only 2 of 3 weeks golfed, has moved into 2nd place behind Brian and tied with Jay. Everyone else is still middle of the pack with a lot to be determined depending on makeup rounds and Dominick's official average being established. Week 4 should start to clarify some things as everyone gets their scores in.

So far low HDCP golfers seem to be struggling while higher HDCP golfers seem to be doing well. Should be interesting to see who can get going and who can keep it going as the season wears on.

This week Lew was the big side bet winner with Mackson close behind. Lew was able to secure the first greenie of the year on #7, win a skin and secure low net with a great round this week. His only blemish was a double on the first hole. Mackson was a little bit inverse of Lew with a strong round going until a double on #8 and a costly quad on #9. Both had great rounds otherwise.

Finally and again, please remember the points are a little in flux until we finalize who is in the 1st half and until Dominick has established an average. The points listed so far should be close but will change as players get their make-up rounds in and after Dominick's official average kicks in.

Your committee, -Jay and Dave